Object–Relational DBMSs
Several major software companies including IBM, Informix, Microsoft, Oracle, and Sybase have all released object–relational versions of their products. These companies are promoting a new, extended version of relational database technology called object–relational database management systems also known as ORDBMSs.
This article compares and contrasts this new class of database with the relational databases, RDBMS from which they are evolving and also with efficient object-oriented databases, OODBMSs, also known as object databases, ODBMSs.
A certain group thinks that future applications can only be implemented with pure object-oriented systems. Initially these systems looked promising. However, they have been unable to live up to the expectations. A new technology has evolved in which relational and object-oriented concepts have been combined or merged. These systems are called object-relational database systems. The main advantages of ORDBMSs are massive scalability and support for object-oriented features.
Advantages of ORDBMSs

- The main advantages of extending the relational data model come from *reuse* and *sharing*.
- Reuse comes from the ability to extend the DBMS server to perform standard functionality centrally, rather than have it coded in each application.
- If we can embed the functionality in the server, it saves having to define it in each application that needs it, and consequently allows the functionality to be shared by all applications.
Disadvantages of ORDBMSs

- The ORDBMSs approach has the obvious disadvantage of complexity and associated increased costs.

- There are proponents of the relational approach that believe the essential simplicity and purity of the relational model are lost with these types of extension.

- There are also those that believe that the RDMSs is being extended for what will be a minority of applications that do not achieve optimal performance with current relational technology.
Instead of discussing object models, terms like ‘user-defined data types’ are used. The terminology of object-orientation abounds with terms like ‘abstract types’, ‘class hierarchies’, and ‘object models’. However, ORDBMSs vendors are attempting to portray object models as extensions to the relational model with some additional complexities.

This potentially misses the point of object-orientation, highlighting the large semantic gap between these two technologies.
The relational model was formally introduced by Dr. E. F. Codd in 1970 and has evolved since then, through a series of writings and later through implementations by IBM and others. The defining standard for relational databases is published by ANSI (the American National Standard Institute) as SQL (ANSI 1986) or SQL1, called SQL–86. A revised standard is called SQL2, also referred to as SQL–92.
A relational database is composed of many relations in the form of two-dimensional tables of rows and columns containing related tuples.

Organizing data into tables, the form in which data is presented to the user and the programmer, is known as the logical view of the database. The stored data on a computer disk system is called the internal view. The rows (tuples) are called records and the columns (fields in the record) are called attributes.
Each column has a data type (i.e., int, float, date). There are various restrictions on the data that can be stored in a relational database. These are called constraints. The constraints are domain constraints, key constraints, entity integrity constraints, and referential integrity constraints. These constraints ensure that there are no ambiguous tuples in the database.
RDBMSs use Structured Query Language (SQL, currently SQL2) as the data definition language (DDL) and the data manipulation language (DML).

SQL includes statements for data definition, modification, querying and constraint specification. The types of queries vary from simple single-table queries to complicated multi-table queries involving joins, nesting, set union/differences, and others.
disadvantages of Relational Databases

- All processing is based on values in fields of records. Examples of RDBMSs include Oracle, developed by Oracle Corporation, and Microsoft Access developed by Microsoft.

- The main disadvantages of Relational Databases include their inability to handle application areas like spatial databases (e.g. CAD), applications involving images, special types databases (e.g. complex numbers, arrays, etc.) and other applications that involve complex interrelationships of data.
The SQL standard enables users to easily migrate their database applications between database systems.

In addition, users can access data stored in two or more RDBMSs without changing the database sub-language (SQL).
The concept of **abstract data types (ADTs)** in which the internal data structure is hidden and the external operations can be applied on the object that is specified led to the concept of encapsulation.

The programming language SMALLTALK, developed by Xerox, was designed to be object-oriented. Other object-oriented programming languages include C++, Java, etc.
Object-Oriented DBMS (OODBMS)

- The main features of OO programming languages are encapsulation, inheritance, and polymorphism.

- **Encapsulation** can be thought of as a protective layer that prevents the code and the data from being accessed by other code defined outside the layer.
Object–Oriented DBMS (OODBMS)

- The process in which one object inherits the properties of a previously defined object is called inheritance. Inheritance aids in the reuse of existing definitions for creating new objects.

- Polymorphism allows the same operator or symbol to have different implementations, depending on the type of objects to which the operator is applied.
The data in object-oriented database management systems (OODBMSs) is managed through two sets of relations, one describing the interrelations of data items and another describing the abstract relationships (inheritance).
The strong connection between application and database results in less code, more natural data structures, and better maintainability and reusability of code. OO languages, such as C++ or Java, are able to reduce code size by not having to translate code into a database sublanguage such as SQL and ODBC or JDBC.
the lack of a defining standard was a drawback for OODBMSs. The Object Data Management Group (ODMG) has proposed a standard known as ODMG–93 or ODMG 1.0 standard, now revised into ODMG 2.0.
The standard consists of the object model, the object defining language (ODL), the object query language (OQL), and the bindings to OO programming languages.

The ODL and OQL are based on the ODMG data model.
Data Model

- The data model consists of data types, type constructors, etc., and is similar to the SQL report that describes the standard model for relational databases.

- The ODL is designed so as to support semantic constructs of ODMG 2.0 object model. It is independent of any programming language. The ODL is used to create object specifications.
The OQL is designed to work closely with the programming languages for which an ODMG binding is defined such as C++, Java and SMALLTALK.

The syntax of the OQL queries is similar to the syntax of SQL (a query language for relational databases) with some additional features such as object identity, complex objects, inheritance, polymorphism and relationships.
An object–oriented language is the language for both the application and the database. OODBMSs have been integrated with C++, C, Java and LISP.

The primary interface in an OODBMS for creating and modifying objects is directly via the object language (C++, Java, etc.) using the native language syntax.
The difference between relational databases and OO databases is the way in which relationships are handled.

In OO databases, the relationships are represented with OIDs, which improves the data access performance.

In relational databases, relationships among tuples are specified by attributes having the same domain.
The main drawback of OODBMSs has been poor performance. Unlike RDBMSs, query optimization for OODBMs is highly complex.

OODBMSs also suffer from problems of scalability, and are unable to support large-scale systems. Some examples of OODBMSs are O2 (now called Ardent) developed by Ardent Software, and the ObjectStore system produced by Object Design Inc.
An ORDBMS supports an extended form of SQL called SQL3 that is still in the development stages. The extensions are needed because ORDBMSs have to support ADT's.

The ORDBMS has the relational model in it because the data is stored in the form of tables having rows and columns and SQL is used as the query language and the result of a query is also table or tuples (rows).
characteristics of an ORDBMSs

» Base datatype extension,
» Support complex objects,
» Inheritance, and
» Rule Systems.
Object–Relational Database Management Systems (ORDBMSs) allow users to define datatypes, functions and operators. As a result, the functionality of the ORDBMSs increases along with their performance.
An example schema of a student relation which ORDBMS supports

- STUDENT(fname, lname, ID, sex, major, address, dname, location, picture)

- Notice: extra attributes "location" and "picture" which are not present in the traditional EMPLOYEE relation of RDBMS. The datatype of "location" is "geographic point" and "picture" is "image".
## The differences between the three approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>RDBMS</th>
<th>ODBMS</th>
<th>ORDBMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Defining standard</td>
<td>SQL2</td>
<td>ODMG-2.0</td>
<td>SQL3 (in process)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for object-oriented features</td>
<td>Does not support;</td>
<td>Supports extensively</td>
<td>Limited support; mostly to new data type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It is difficult to map program object to the database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage</td>
<td>Easy to use</td>
<td>OK for programmers; some SQL access for end users</td>
<td>Easy to use except for some extensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for complex relationships</td>
<td>Does not support</td>
<td>Supports a wide variety of datatypes and data with complex inter-relationships</td>
<td>Supports Abstract datatypes and complex relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abstract datatypes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Very good performance</td>
<td>Relatively less performance</td>
<td>Expected to perform very well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### The differences between the three approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>RDBMS</th>
<th>ODBMS</th>
<th>ORDBMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product maturity</td>
<td>Relatively old and so very mature</td>
<td>This concept is few years old and so relatively matur</td>
<td>Still in development stage so immature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>feature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The use of SQL</td>
<td>Extensive supports SQL</td>
<td>OQL is similar to SQL, but with additional features like</td>
<td>SQL3 is being developed with OO features incorporated in it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Complex objects and object-oriented features</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Its dependence on SQL, relatively simple</td>
<td>It can handle all types of complex applications,</td>
<td>Ability to query complex applications and ability to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>query optimization hence good performance</td>
<td>reusability of code, less coding</td>
<td>handle large and complex applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantage</td>
<td>Inability to handle complex applications</td>
<td>Low performance due to complex query optimization,</td>
<td>Low performance in web application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>inability to support large-scale systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support from vendors</td>
<td>It is considered to be highly successful</td>
<td>Presently lacking vendor support due to vast size of</td>
<td>All major RDBMS vendors are after this so has very</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>so the market size is very large but many</td>
<td>RDBMS market</td>
<td>good future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>vendors are moving towards ORDBMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The other current ORDBMSs include Oracle8, from Oracle Corporation, and Universal DB (UDB) from IBM. Also, Stonebraker point out that applications from Relational DBMSs (simple data with query) will slowly move towards the Object–Relational DBMSs (complex data with query).
five architectural options given by Dr. Stonebraker

- Supply plug-in code to make function calls to other applications.
- Add separate API's and server subsystems to support object functionality.
- Simulate specialized object-relational functionality in a middleware layer.
- Completely redesign the database engine.
- Add a new object-oriented layer to support rich datatypes atop a proven relational database engine.
In spite of many advantages, ORDBMSs also have a drawback. The architecture of object-relational model is not appropriate for high-speed web applications. However, with advantages like large storage capacity, access speed, and manipulation power of object databases, ORDBMSs are set to conquer the database market.