## COURSE: THEORY OF AUTOMATA COMPUTATION

# TOPICS TO BE COVERED 

- Parse tree
- Grammar
- Definitions
- Ambiguous Regular Grammar


## DERIVATIONS AND PARSE TREES

- G: S --> $\varepsilon$ | SS | (S) //L(G) = PAREN
- Now consider the derivations of the string: "()()".
- $D_{1}$ : S--> SS -->(S) S --> ()S --> ()(S) --> ()()
$-D_{2}: S-->S S ~-->S(S) ~-->(S)(S)-->(S)()-->()()$
- $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ : S-->SS -->S(S) --> S() -->(S)() --> ()()
- Notes:
- 1. $D_{1}$ is a leftmost derivation, $D_{3}$ is a rightmost derivation while $D_{2}$ is neither leftmost nor rightmost derivation.
$\circ$ 2. $D_{1} \sim D_{3}$ are the same in the sense that:
* The rules used (and the number of times of their applications) are the same.
* All applications of each rule in all 3 derivations are applied to the same place in the string.
* More intuitively, they are equivalent in the sense that by reordering the applications of applied rules, they can be transformed to the same derivation.
$\odot D_{1} \sim D_{3}$ represent different ways of generating the following parse tree for the string "()()".


Features of the parse tree:

1. The root node is [labeled] the start symbol: S
2. The left to right traversal of all leaves corresponds to the input string : () ().
3. If $X$ is an internal node and $Y_{1} Y_{2} \ldots Y_{K}$ are an left-to-right listing of all its children in the tree, then $X$--> $Y_{1} Y_{2} \ldots$ $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is a rule of G .
4. Every step of derivation corresponds to one-level growth of an internal node

A parse tree for the string "() ()".

## MAPPING DERIVATIONS TO PARSE TREE

- How was a parse tree generated from a derivation?


Top-down view of $D_{1}$ : S -->* ()() and
$D_{2}: S$-->* ()().

## BOTTOM-UP VIEW OF THE GENERATION OF THE PARSE TREE



## REMARKS:

1. Every derivation describes completely how a parse tree grows up.
2. In practical applications (e.g., compiler ), we need to know not only if a input string $w \in L(G)$, but also the parse tree (corresponding to S -->* w )
3. A grammar is said to be ambiguous if there exists some string which has more than one parse tree.
4. In the above example, '()()' has at least three derivations which correspond to the same parse tree and hence does not show that G is ambiguous.
5. Non-uniqueness of derivations is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the ambiguity of a grammar.
6. A CFL is said to be ambiguous if every CFG generating it is ambiguous.

## AN AMBIGUOUS CONTEXT FREE

 LANGUAGE- Let $L=\left\{a^{n} b^{n} c^{m} d^{m} \mid n \geq 1, m \geq 1\right\} \cup\left\{a^{n} b^{m} c^{m} d^{n} \mid n\right.$ $\geq 1, m \geq 1\}$
- It can be proved that the above language is inherently ambiguous. Namely, all context free grammars for it are ambiguous.


## PARSE TREES AND PARTIAL PARSE TREES

FOR A CFG
○ $G=(N, \Sigma, P, S):$ a CFG
$\operatorname{PT}(\mathrm{G})==_{\text {def }}$ the set of all parse trees of $G$, is the set of all trees corresponding to complete derivations ( I.e., A -->* W where $\left.w \in \Sigma^{*}\right)$.
$\operatorname{PPT}(\mathrm{G}){ }_{{ }_{\text {def }}}$ the set of all partial parse tree of $G$ is the set of all trees corresponding to all possible derivations (i.e., A -->* $\alpha$, where $A \in N$ and $\left.\alpha \in(N U \Sigma)^{*}\right)$.
$\odot$ The set $\operatorname{PPT}(\mathrm{G})$ and $\operatorname{PT}(\mathrm{G})$ are defined inductively as follows:

1. Every nonterminal $A$ is a PPT (with root A and yield A)
2. If $\mathrm{T}=(\ldots \mathrm{A} . .$.$) is a PPT where \mathrm{A}$ a nonterminal leaf and T has yield $\alpha A \beta$. and $A \rightarrow X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{n}(n \geq 0)$ is a production, then the tree $T^{\prime}=\left(\ldots . .\left(A X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{n}\right) \ldots\right.$ ) obtained from $T$ by appending $X_{1} \ldots X_{n}$ to the leaf $A$ as children of $A$ is a PPT with yield $\alpha X_{1} \ldots X_{n} \beta$.
3. A PPT is called a partial $X$-tree if its root is labeled $X$.
4. A PPT is a parse tree (PT) if its yield is a terminal string.

T:
T':

$\operatorname{yield}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\alpha X_{1} X_{2} \ldots X_{n} \beta$.

## RELATIONS BETWEEN PARSE TREES <br> AND DERIVATIONS

Lemma 4.1: If $T$ is a partial $X$-tree with yield $\alpha$, then $X$-->* ${ }_{G} \alpha$. Pf: proved by ind. on the structure(or number of nodes) of T . Basis: $T=X$ is a single-node PPT. Then $\alpha=X$. Hence $X->{ }_{G} \alpha$. Ind: $T=(\ldots(A \beta) \ldots)$ can be generated from $T^{\prime}=(\ldots . . A$... $)$ with yield $\mu \mathrm{A} v$ by appending $\beta$ to A. Then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
X-->_{G}^{*} \mu A v & \text { // by ind. hyp. on T' } \\
-->_{G} \mu \beta \nu \quad \text { // by def. } A-->\beta \text { in } P \quad \text { QED. }
\end{array}
$$

- Let $D: X$--> $\alpha_{1}-->\alpha_{2}-->$... --> $\alpha_{n}$ be a derivation.

The partial $X$-tree generated from $D$, denoted $T_{D}$, which has yield $\left(T_{D}\right)=\alpha_{n}$, can be defined inductively on $n$ :

1. $n=0$ : (i.e., $D=X$ ). Then $T_{D}=X$ is a single-node PPT.
2. $n=k+1>0$ : let $D=\left[X-->\alpha_{1}-->\ldots\right.$... $->\alpha_{k}=\alpha A \beta$--> $\left.\alpha X_{1} \ldots X_{m} \beta\right]$

$$
=\left[D^{\prime}-->\alpha X_{1} \ldots X_{m} \beta\right]
$$

then $T_{D}=T_{D}$, with leaf $A$ replaced by $\left(A X_{1} \ldots X_{m}\right)$

# RELATIONS BETWEEN PARSE TREES AND DERIVATIONS (CONT'D) 

Lemma 4.2: $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{X}$--> $\alpha_{1}-->\alpha_{2}-->. .$. --> $\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}$ a derivation. Then
$T_{D}$ is a partial $X$-tree with yield $\alpha_{n}$.
Pf: Simple induction on $n$. left as an exercise.

- Leftmost and rightmost derivations:

○ G: a CFG. Two relations

- L--> ${ }_{G}$ (leftmost derivation),
${ }^{\text {R--> }}{ }_{G}$ (rightmost derivation) $\subseteq(N U \Sigma)^{+} \times(N U \Sigma)^{*}$ are defined as follows: For $\alpha, \beta \in(N U \Sigma)^{*}$

1. $\alpha{ }^{L-->}{ }_{G} \beta$ iff $\exists x \in \Sigma^{*}, A \in N, \gamma \in(N U \Sigma)^{*}$ and $A-->\delta \in P$ s.t.

$$
\alpha=x A \gamma \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=x \delta \gamma .
$$

2. $\alpha^{\text {R--> }}{ }_{G} \beta$ iff $\exists x \in \Sigma^{*}, A \in N, \gamma \in(N U \Sigma)^{*}$ and $A-->\delta \in P$ s.t.
$\alpha=\gamma A x$ and $\beta=\gamma \delta x$.
3. define ${ }^{\text {L-- }}{ }^{*}{ }_{G}$ (resp., ${ }^{\mathrm{R}-->_{G}}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}$ ) as the ref. \& trans. closure of $L_{-->_{G}}\left(R_{-->_{G}}\right)$.

## PARSE TREE AND LEFTMOST/RIGHTMOST

 DERIVATIONS○ Ex: S --> SS | (S) | e. Then
(SSS) ${ }^{-->}{ }_{G}((S) S S)$ leftmost
${ }_{-->_{G}}(S S(S))$ rightmost
--> ${ }_{G}(S(S) S)$ neither leftmost nor rightmost
Theorem 3: G; a CFG, $A \in N, w \in \Sigma^{*}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\mathrm{A}-->_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{W}$.
(b) $\exists$ a parse tree with root $A$ and yield $w$.
(c) $\exists$ a leftmost derivation $A{ }^{\text {L--> }}{ }_{G} W$
(d) $\exists$ a rightmost derivation $A^{\mathrm{R}_{-->}{ }^{*}{ }_{G} \mathrm{~W}}$
pf: (a) <==> (b) // (a) <==> (b) direct from Lemma 1 \& 2.

// (c),(d) ==> (a) : by definition
(d) // need to prove (b) ==>(c),(d) only.
// left as exercise.

## PARSING

- Major application of CFG \& PDAs:
- Natural Language Processing(NLP)
- Programming language, Compiler:

- Parser generator :
parse trees
or its equivalents
Grammar

parser generator (yacc, sableCC javacc, Jcup ) Parser for G, $\longrightarrow$ Lexical analyzer for $G$ code generation rule for $G$ etc.


## PARSING (CONT'D)

$\odot$ Parsing is the process of the generation of a parse tree ( or its equivalents) corresponding to a given input string $w$ and grammar $G$.
Note: In formal language we are only concerned with if $w \in L(G)$, but in compiler, we also need to know how $w$ is derived from $S$ (i.e., we need to know the parse tree if it exists).

- A general CFG parser:
- a program that can solve the problem:
- x : any input string; $\mathrm{G}: \operatorname{a}$ CFG $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{G}}$



## THE CYK ALGORITHM

- A general CFG parsing algorithm
- run in time $\mathrm{O}\left(|x|^{3}\right)$.
- using dynamic programming (DP) technique.
- applicable to general CFG
- but our demo version requires the grammar in Chomsky normal form.
- Example: G =
$S$--> AB | BA \| SS | AC | BD
A --> a B --> b C --> SB D --> SA
Let $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{aabbab}, \quad \mathrm{n}=|\mathrm{x}|=6$.
Steps: 1. Draw $n+1$ vertical bars separating the symbols of $x$ and number them 0 to n :



## THE CYK ALGORITHM (CONT'D)

2. /* For each $0 \leq \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{j} \leq \mathrm{n}$. Let $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ the substring of $x$ between bar $i$ and bar $j$.

For each $0 \leq i<j \leq n$. Let $T(i, j)=\{X \in N \mid X-$ -> ${ }_{G} X_{i j}$ \}. I.e., $T(i, j)$ is the set of nonterminal symbols that can derive the substring $X_{i j}$.

- note: $x \in L(G)$ iff $S \in T(0, n)$.
/* The spirit of the algorithm is that the value $\mathrm{T}(0, \mathrm{n})$ can be computed by applying DP technique. */

Build a table with $C(n, 2)$ entries as shown in next slide:

- The goal is to fill in the table with cell $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=$ $5^{T}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$.

Problem: how to proceed ?
$4==>$ diagonal entries
3 immediately !! (why ?)



## HOW TO FILL IN THE CYK CHART

## $S$--> AB|BA|SS|AC|BD <br> A --> a B $-->b$ <br> C--> SB D --> SA



## THE DEMO CYK VERSION GENERALIZED <br> S --> AB | BA | SS | AC | BD A --> a B --> b <br> C--> SB D --> SA

- Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}=\{X-->\alpha \mid X->\alpha \in \mathrm{P}$ and $|\alpha|=\mathrm{k}\}$.

5 Then $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}>0} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{t} 0<\mathrm{t} 1<\mathrm{t} 2<\ldots \mathrm{tk}<\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{k}+1)}\{\mathcal{X}$ $\left.\left.X^{X->} X_{1} X_{m+1}\right)\right\}$
3
1
0

## THE CYK ALGORITHM

/ / input grammar is in Chomsky normal form

1. for $\mathrm{i}=0$ to $\mathrm{n}-1$ do $\{\quad / /$ first do substring of length 1
$\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+1)=\{ \} ;$
for each rule of the form A-> a do
if $a=x_{i, i+1}$ then $T(i, i+1)=T(i, i+1) U\{A\} ;$
2. for $m=2$ to $n$ do // for each length $m>1$ for $\mathrm{i}=0$ to $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{m}$ do\{ $\quad / /$ for each substring of length m

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{m})=\{ \} ;
$$

for $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1$ to $\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{m}-1$ do\{ // for each break of the string for each rule of the form $A$--> $B C$ do
If $B \in T(i, j)$ and $C \in T(j, i+m)$ then
$T(i, i+m)=T(i, i+m) \cup\{A\}$
\}\}

