## COURSE: THEORY OF AUTOMATA COMPUTATION

## IOPICS TO BE COVERED

- Non deterministic finite automata
- Language accepted by a NFA
- String accepted by Non Deterministic finite automata


## NONDETERMANISTIIC FINITE STATE AUTOMATA (NFA)

- An NFA is a five-tuple:
$M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$
Q A finite set of states
$\Sigma \quad$ A finite input alphabet
$\mathrm{q}_{0} \quad$ The initial/starting state, $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ is in Q
F A set of final/accepting states, which is a subset of Q
$\delta \quad$ A transition function, which is a total function from $\mathrm{Q} \times \Sigma$ to $2^{Q}$
$\delta:(Q \times \Sigma) \rightarrow 2^{Q} \quad-2^{Q}$ is the power set of $Q$, the set of all subsets of $Q$
$\delta(q, s)$ -The set of all states $p$ such that there is a transition labeled $s$ from $q$ to $p$
$\delta(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{s})$ is a function from $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{S}$ to $2^{\mathrm{Q}}$ (but not to Q )
- Example \#1: some 0's followed by some 1's
$\mathrm{Q}=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}$
$\Sigma=\{0,1\}$
Start state is $\mathrm{q}_{0}$

$\mathrm{F}=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}$
$\delta:$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $q_{0}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}$ | $\}$ |
|  | $\}$ | $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ |
| $q_{1}$ | $\left\{q_{1}\right.$ |  |
|  | $\left\{q_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{2}\right\}$ |
|  |  |  |

- Example \#2: pair of 0's or pair of 1's
$Q=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\}$ $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$
Start state is $q_{0}$ $\mathrm{F}=\left\{\mathrm{q}_{2}, \mathrm{q}_{4}\right\}$
$\delta:$

|  | $0 \quad 1$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{3}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{1}\right\}$ |
| $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ | \{\} | $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}$ |
| $\mathrm{q}_{2}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}$ |
| $\mathrm{q}_{3}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{q}_{4}\right\}$ | \{\} |
| $\mathrm{q}_{4}$ | $\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ | $\left\{q_{4}\right\}$ |

## - Notes:

- $\delta(q, s)$ may not be defined for some $q$ and $s$ (why?).
- Informally, a string is said to be accepted if there exists a path to some state in F.
- The language accepted by an NFA is the set of all accepted strings.
- Question: How does an NFA find the correct/accepting path for a given string?
- NFAs are a non-intuitive computing model.
- We are primarily interested in NFAs as language defining devices, i.e., do NFAs accept languages that DFAs do not?
- Other questions are secondary, including practical questions such as whether or not there is an algorithm for finding an accepting path throug an NFA for a given string,
- Determining if a given NFA (example \#2) accepts a given string (001) can be done algorithmically:

- Each level will have at most n states
- Another example (010):

not accepted
- All paths have been explored, and none lead to an accepting state.
- Question: Why non-determinism is useful?
- Non-determinism = Backtracking
- Non-determinism hides backtracking
- Programming languages, e.g., Prolog, hides backtracking => Easy to program at a higher level: what we want to do, rather than how to do it
- Useful in complexity study
- Is NDA more "powerful" than DFA, i.e., accepts type of languages that an DFA cannot?
- Let $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}$. Give an NFA $M$ that accepts:

$$
L=\left\{x \mid x \text { is in } \Sigma^{*} \text { and } x \text { contains } a b\right\}
$$



Is $L$ a subset of $L(M)$ ?
Is $L(M)$ a subset of $L$ ?

- Is an NFA necessary? Could a DFA accept L? Try and give an equivalent DFA as an exercise.
- Designing NFAs is not trivial: easy to create bug
- Let $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$. Give an NFA $M$ that accepts:
$\mathrm{L}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \mid \mathrm{x}\right.$ is in $\Sigma^{*}$ and the third to the last symbol in x is b$\}$


Is $L$ a subset of $L(M)$ ?
Is $L(M)$ a subset of $L$ ?

- Give an equivalent DFA as an exercise.


## EXTENSION OF $\triangle$ TO STRINGS AND SETS OF

- What we currently have:
$\delta:(\mathrm{Q} \times \Sigma)-2^{\mathrm{Q}}$
- What we want (why?):
- We will do this in two steps, which will be slightly different from the book, and we will make use of the following NFA.



## EXTENSION OF $\triangle$ TO STRINGS AND SETS OF

STATES

- Step \#1:

Given $\delta:(Q \times \Sigma) \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ define $\delta^{\#}:\left(2^{Q} \times \Sigma\right) \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ as follows:

1) $\delta^{\#}(R, a)=\bigcup_{q \in R} \delta(q, a)$ for all subsets $R$ of $Q$, and symbols a in $\Sigma$

- Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\#}(\{p\}, a) & =\bigcup_{q \in \perp \delta(q, a)} \\
& =\delta(p, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hence, we can use $\delta$ for $\delta^{\#}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}, 0\right) \\
& \delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These now make sense, but previously they did not.

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}, 0\right) & =\delta\left(q_{0}, 0\right) \cup \delta\left(q_{2}, 0\right) \\
& =\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{q_{3}, q_{4}\right\} \\
& =\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}, q_{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}, 1\right)=\delta\left(q_{0}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(q_{1}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(q_{2}, 1\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\{ \} \cup\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \cup\{ \} \\
& =\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Step \#2:

Given $\delta:\left(2^{Q} \times \Sigma\right)-2^{Q}$ define $\delta^{\wedge}:\left(2^{Q} \times \Sigma^{*}\right)->2^{Q}$ as follows:
$\delta^{\wedge}(R, w)$ - The set of states $M$ could be in after processing string $w$, having startir from any state in $R$.

Formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2) } \delta^{\wedge}(R, \varepsilon)=R \\
& \text { 3) } \delta^{\wedge}(R, w a)=\delta\left(\delta^{\wedge}(R, w), a\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any subset R of Q
for any $w$ in $\Sigma^{*}$, a in $\Sigma$, and subset R of Q

- Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\wedge}(R, a) & =\delta\left(\delta^{\wedge}(R, \varepsilon),\right. \text { a) } & & \text { by definition of } \delta^{\wedge}, \text { rule \#3 above } \\
& =\delta(R, a) & & \text { by definition of } \delta^{\wedge}, \text { rule \#2 above }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Hence, we can use $\delta$ for $\delta^{\wedge}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta\left(\left\{\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}, 0110\right) \\
& \delta\left(\left\{\mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{q}_{1}, \mathrm{q}_{2}\right\}, 101101\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These now make sense, but previously they did not.

- Example:


What is $\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 10\right)$ ?
Informally: The set of states the NFA could be in after processing 10, having started in state $q_{0}$, i.e., $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}$.

Formally: $\quad \delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 10\right)=\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 1\right), 0\right)$

$$
=\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 0\right)
$$

$$
=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}
$$

Is 10 accepted? Yes!

- Example:

What is $\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, 1\right)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}\right\}, 1\right) & =\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(\left\{q_{1}\right\}, 1\right) \\
& =\left\{q_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \\
& =\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

What is $\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}, 10\right)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}, 10\right) & =\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{2}\right\}, 1\right), 0\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(\left\{q_{2}\right\}, 1\right), 0\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{q_{3}\right\}, 0\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}, q_{3}\right\}, 0\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 0\right) \cup \delta\left(\left\{q_{3}\right\}, 0\right) \\
& =\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \cup\{ \} \\
& =\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 101\right) & =\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 10\right), 1\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 1\right), 0\right), 1\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, 0\right), 1\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}, 1\right) \\
& =\delta\left(\left\{q_{1}\right\}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(\left\{q_{2}\right\}, 1\right) \cup \delta\left(\left\{q_{3}\right\}, 1\right) \\
& =\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{q_{3}\right\} \cup\{ \} \\
& =\left\{q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Is 101 accepted? Yes!

## DEFINITIONS FOR NFAS

- Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be an NFA and let $w$ be in $\Sigma^{*}$. Then $w$ is accepted by $M$ iff $\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}\right.$, w) contains at least one state in $F$.
- Let $M=\left(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{0}, F\right)$ be an NFA. Then the language accepted by $M$ is the set:
$L(M)=\left\{w \mid w\right.$ is in $\Sigma^{*}$ and $\delta\left(\left\{q_{0}\right\}, w\right)$ contains at least one state in $\left.F\right\}$
- Another equivalent definition:
$L(M)=\left\{w \mid w\right.$ is in $\Sigma^{*}$ and $w$ is accepted by $\left.M\right\}$

