## **SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**

#### LECTURE-42

## Software Testing and Validation Overview

### TOPICS COVERED

- Software Testing
- o Test Plans
- Test plan Considerations
- IEEE 829
- Reality Check

## What is Software Testing?

**Several definitions:** 

"Testing is the process of establishing confidence that a program or system does what it is supposed to." by Hetzel 1973

"Testing is the process of executing a program or system with the intent of finding errors." by Myers 1979

"Testing is any activity aimed at evaluating an attribute or capability of a program or system and determining that it meets its required results." by Hetzel 1983

## What is Software Testing?

- One of very important software development phases

- A software process based on well-defined software quality control and testing standards, testing methods, strategy, test criteria, and tools.

- Engineers perform all types of software testing activities to perform a software test process.

- The last quality checking point for software on its production line

## Who does Software Testing?

- Test manager

- manage and control a software test project
- supervise test engineers
- define and specify a test plan
- Software Test Engineers and Testers
  - define test cases, write test specifications, run tests
- Independent Test Group
- Development Engineers
  - Only perform unit tests and integration tests
- Quality Assurance Group and Engineers
  - Perform system testing
  - Define software testing standards and quality control process

THE TEST PLAN

## o The Test Plan

o who o what

o when

o where

o how



#### TEST PLAN CONSIDERATIONS

#### • What are the critical or most complex modules?

make sure they get integration tested first
probably deserve white-box attention

• Where have you had problems in the past?

• Third-Party delivered components?

What training is required?
 conducting formal reviews
 use of testing tools
 defect report logging

## IEEE 829 - STANDARD FOR SOFTWARE TEST DOCUMENTATION

## Recommends 8 types of testing documents:

- 1. Test Plan
  - o next slide
- 2. Test Design Specification
  - expected results, pass criteria, ...
- 3. Test Case Specification
  - test data for use in running the tests
- 4. Test Procedure Specification
  - how to run each test
- 5. Test Item Transmittal Report
  - reporting on when components have progressed from one stage of testing to the next
- 6. Test Log
- 7. Test Incident Report
  - o for any test that failed, the actual versus expected result
- 8. Test Summary Report
  - management report

#### TEST PLAN CONTENTS (IEEE 829 FORMAT)

- 1. Test Plan Identifier
- 2. References
- 3. Introduction
- 4. Test Items see next slide
- 5. Software Risk Issues
- 6. Features to be Tested
- 7. Features not to be Tested
- 8. Approach
- 9. Item Pass/Fail Criteria
- 10. Suspension Criteria and Resumption Requirements

- 11. Test Deliverables
- 12. Remaining Test Tasks
- 13. Environmental Needs
- 14. Staffing and Training Needs
- 15. Responsibilities
- 16. Schedule
- 17. Planning Risks and Contingencies
- 18. Approvals
- 19. Glossary

## **TEST ITEMS**

## Requirements Specification

- o Design
- o Modules

User/Operator Material

- the user interface
- User Guide
- Operations Guide

#### Features

o response time, data accuracy, security, etc

## o System Validation

alpha and beta testing



# **REALITY CHECK •** When is more testing not cost effective?





TESTING

Validate the observed output against the expected output

Is the observed output the same as the expected output?

### ORACLE: EXAMPLES



•How to verify the output of a matrix multiplication?

• How to verify the output of a matrix inversion program?

• How to verify the output of a sorting algorithm?

### ORACLE: EXAMPLE

A tester often assumes the role of an oracle and thus serves as human oracle.

How to verify the output of a matrix multiplication?

Hand calculation: the tester might input two matrices and check if the output of the program matches the results of hand calculation.

Oracles can also be programs. For example, one might use a matrix multiplication to check if a matrix inversion program has produced the correct result:  $A \times A^{-1} = I$ 

How to verify the output of a sorting algorithm?

#### ORACLE: CONSTRUCTION

Construction of automated oracles, such as the one to check a matrix multiplication program or a sort program, requires the determination of input-output relationship.

In general, the construction of automated oracles is a complex undertaking.

#### LIMITATIONS OF TESTING

- o Dijkstra, 1972
  - Testing can be used to show the presence of bugs, but never their absence
- o Goodenough and Gerhart, 1975
  - Testing is successful if the program fails
- The (modest) goal of testing
  - Testing cannot guarantee the correctness of software but can be effectively used to find errors (of certain types)

## Software Testing Principles

•Principle #1. Complete testing is impossible.

•Principle #2. Software testing is not simple activity.

•Reasons:

- •Quality testing requires testers to understand a system/product completely
- •Quality testing needs adequate test set, and efficient testing methods
- •A very tight schedule and lack of test tools.

•Principle #3. Testing is risk-based.

•Principle #4. Testing must be planned.

•Principle #5. Testing requires independence (SQA team).

•Principle #6. Quality software testing depends on.

- •Good understanding of software products and related domain application
- •Cost-effective testing methodology, coverage, test methods, and tools.
- •Good engineers with creativity, and solid software testing experience

#### FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS IN TESTING

#### • When can we stop testing?

- Test coverage
- What should we test?
  - Test generation
- Is the observed output correct?
  - Test oracle
- How well did we do?
  - Test efficiency
- Who should test your program?
  - Independent V&V

## Software Testing Process



#### **TESTING PROCESS GOALS**

#### o Validation testing

- To demonstrate to the developer and the system customer that the software meets its requirements;
- A successful test shows that the system operates as intended.

#### Verification – Defect testing

- To discover faults or defects in the software where its behavior is incorrect or not in conformance with its specification;
- A successful test is a test that makes the system perform incorrectly and so exposes a defect in the system.

#### LEVELS OF TESTING

- Component/Unit testing
- Integration testing
- System testing
- Acceptance testing
- Regression testing

#### LEVELS OF TESTING



#### Software Testing Process

## **COMPONENT/UNIT TESTING**

## **COMPONENT TESTING**

- Testing of individual program components;
- Usually the responsibility of the component developer (except sometimes for critical systems);
- Tests are derived from the developer's experience.
- Require knowledge of code
  - High level of detail
  - Deliver thoroughly tested components to integration
- Stopping criteria
  - Code Coverage
  - Quality

## **COMPONENT TESTING**

#### • Test case

- Input, expected outcome, purpose
- Selected according to a strategy, e.g., branch coverage
- Outcome
  - Pass/fail result
  - Log, i.e., chronological list of events from execution

#### Software Testing Process

## **INTEGRATION TESTING**

Test assembled components

- These must be tested and accepted previously
- Focus on interfaces
  - Might be interface problem although components work when tested in isolation
  - Might be possible to perform new tests

#### Strategies

- Bottom-up, start from bottom and add one at a time
- Top-down, start from top and add one at a time
- Big-bang, everything at once
- Functional, order based on execution
- Simulation of other components
  - Stubs receive output from test objects
  - Drivers generate input to test objects
  - Note that these are also SW, i.e., need testing etc.

□ There are two groups of software integration strategies:

- Non Incremental software integration
- Incremental software integration

Non Incremental software integration:

Big bang integration approach

Incremental software integration:

Top- down software integration Bottom-up software integration Sandwich integration





 Involves building a system from its components and testing it for problems that arise from component interactions.

#### Top-down integration

- Develop the skeleton of the system and populate it with components. Use stubs to replace real components.
- Two strategies: depth first and breadth first.

#### Bottom-up integration

- Integrate infrastructure components then add functional components. Use drivers to test components
- To simplify error localisation, systems should be incrementally integrated.

#### Software Testing Process

## **SYSTEM TESTING**

### SYSTEM TESTING

 Testing of groups of components integrated to create a system or sub-system;

• The responsibility of an independent testing team;

• Tests are based on a system specification.

### SYSTEM TESTING

#### Functional testing

- Test end to end functionality
- Requirement focus
  - Test cases derived from specification
- Use-case focus
  - Test selection based on user profile

## SYSTEM TESTING

- Non-functional testing
- Quality attributes
  - Performance, can the system handle required throughput?
  - Reliability, obtain confidence that system is reliable
  - Timeliness, testing whether the individual tasks meet their specified deadlines
  - etc.

#### Software Testing Process

## **ACCEPTANCE TESTING**

## ACCEPTANCE TESTING

- User (or customer) involved
- Environment as close to field use as possible

• Focus on:

- Building confidence
- Compliance with defined acceptance criteria in the contract

#### Software Testing Process

## **REGRESSION TESTING**

## **RE-TEST AND REGRESSION TESTING**

• Conducted after a change

Re-test aims to verify whether a fault is removed

Re-run the test that revealed the fault

 Regression test aims to verify whether new faults are introduced

- How can we test modified or newly inserted programs?
  - Ignore old test suites and make new ones from the scratch or
  - Reuse old test suites and reduce the number of new test suites as many as possible
- Should preferably be automated

#### Software Testing Process

## **TEST STRATEGIES**

#### STRATEGIES

• Code coverage strategies, e.g.

- Decision coverage
- Path coverage
- Data-Flow analysis (Defines -> Uses)
- Specification-based testing, e.g.
  - Equivalence partitioning
  - Boundary-value analysis
  - Combination strategies
- State-based testing

#### TEST STRATEGIES

#### Black-box or behavioral testing

 knowing the specified function a product is to perform and demonstrating correct operation based solely on its specification without regard for its internal logic

#### 0

 knowing the internal workings of a product, tests are performed to check the workings of all possible logic paths

### CODE COVERAGE

- Statement coverage
  - Each statement should be executed by at least one test case
  - Minimum requirement
- Branch/Decision coverage
  - All paths should be executed by at least one test case
  - All decisions with true and false value

#### **MUTATION TESTING**

#### Create a number of mutants, i.e., faulty versions of program

- Each mutant contains one fault
- Fault created by using mutant operators

• Run test on the mutants (random or selected)

- When a test case reveals a fault, save test case and remove mutant from the set, i.e., it is killed
- Continue until all mutants are killed

Results in a set of test cases with high quality
Need for automation

## MUTATION TESTING

#### Mutants

<pre>int getMax(int x, int y) {</pre>	<pre>int getMax(int x, int y) {</pre>	int getMax(int x, int y) {
int max;	int max;	int max;
if (x >y)	if (x >=y)	if (x >y)
max = x;	max = x;	$\max = x;$
else	else	else
max = y;	max = y;	max = x;
return max;	return max;	return max;
}	}	}

## EXAMPLES OF MUTANT OPERATORS

Mutant operator	In program	In mutant
Variable replacement	z=x*y+1;	x=x*y+1;
		z=x*x+1;
Relational operator	if (x <y)< td=""><td>if(x&gt;y)</td></y)<>	if(x>y)
replacement		if(x<=y)
Off-by-1	z=x*y+1;	z=x*(y+1)+1;
		z=(x+1)*y+1;
Replacement by 0	z=x*y+1;	z=0*y+1;
		z=0;
Arithmetic operator	z=x*y+1;	z=x*y-1;
replacement		z=x+y-1;

#### SPECIFICATION-BASED TESTING

- Test cases derived from specification
- Equivalence partitioning
  - Identify sets of input from specification
    - Assumption: if one input from set *s* leads to a failure, then all inputs from set *s* will lead to the same failure
  - Chose a representative value from each set
  - Form test cases with the chosen values



Validate the observed output against the expected output

#### BLACK BOX TESTING EQUIVALENCE PARTITIONING

- Input data and output results often fall into different classes where all members of a class are related.
- Each of these classes is an equivalence partition or domain where the program behaves in an equivalent way for each class member.
- Test cases should be chosen from each partition.

#### Equivalence Partitioning

 Black-box technique divides the input domain into classes of data from which test cases can be derived.

 An ideal test case uncovers a class of errors that might require many arbitrary test cases to be executed before a general error is observed.

#### SPECIFICATION-BASED TESTING

- Boundary value analysis
  - Identify boundaries in input and output
  - For each boundary:
    - Select one value from each side of boundary (as close as possible)
  - Form test cases with the chosen values

#### BOUNDARY VALUE ANALYSIS

- Black-box technique
  - focuses on classes and also on the boundaries of the input domain.
- Guidelines:
  - 1. If input condition specifies a range bounded by values a and b, test cases should include a and b, values just above and just below a and b
  - 2. If an input condition specifies a number of values, test cases should exercise the minimum and maximum numbers, as well as values just above and just below the minimum and maximum values

## STATE-BASED TESTING

 Model functional behavior in a state machine (communication – protocol …)

- Select test cases in order to cover the graph
  - Each node
  - Each transition
  - Each pair of transitions
  - Each chain of transitions of length *i*



## EXAMPLE: Factorial function: N!



#### FACTORIAL OF N: N!

 Equivalence partitioning – break the input domain into different classes:

- Class 1: n < 0
- Class2: n>0 and n! doesn't cause an overflow
- Class3: n>0 and n! causes an overflow
- Boundary Value Analysis:
  - n=0 (between class1 and class2)

## FACTORIAL OF N: N!

TEST CASES

- Test case = ( ins, expected outs)
- Equivalence partitioning break the input domain into different classes:
  - 1. From Class1: ((n = -1), "function not defined for n negative")
  - 2. From Class2: ((n = 3), 6)
  - 3. From Class3: ((n=100), "input value too big")

## Boundary Value Analysis:

4. ((n=0), 1)

White-Box Testing Structural Testing

## **TEST STRATEGIES**

## WHITE BOX TESTING STRUCTURAL TESTING

- The objective of path testing is to ensure that the set of test cases is such that each path through the program is executed at least once.
- The starting point for path testing is a program flow graph that shows nodes representing program decisions and arcs representing the flow of control.
- Statements with conditions are therefore nodes in the flow graph.

#### PATH TESTING – CONTROL FLOW GRAPH

White-box technique is based on the program flow graph (CFG)



Many paths between 1 (begin) and 6 (end)

1, 2, 5, 6

1, 2, 3, 4, 2, 6

1, 2, 3, 4, 2, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6

Prepare test cases that will force the execution of each path in the basis set.

Test case : ((inputs ...), (expected outputs ...))



### EXAMPLE: BINARY SEARCH PSEUDO-CODE

class BinSearch {

// This is an encapsulation of a binary search function that takes an array of // ordered objects and a key and returns an object with 2 attributes namely // index - the value of the array index

// found - a boolean indicating whether or not the key is in the array
// An object is returned because it is not possible in Java to pass basic types
by

// reference to a function and so return two values
// the key is -1 if the element is not found

public static void search ( int key, int [] elemArray, Result r )

```
Ł
         int bottom = 0:
1.
2.
         int top = elemArray.length - 1;
         int mid :
         r.found = false :
3.
         r_{index} = -1:
4.
         while ( bottom \leq top )
5.
          ŧ
              mid = (top + bottom) / 2;
6
7
              if (elemArray [mid] - key)
8
                    rindex = mid;
9
                    r.found = true :
10
                     return :
              } // if part
              else
               £
                    if (elemArray [mid] < key)
11
12
                        bottom = mid + 1 ;
                    else
                        top = mid - 1;
13
          } //while loop
14. } // search
} //BinSearch
```

#### BINARY SEARCH FLOW GRAPH



#### INDEPENDENT PATHS

- 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14
  1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 14
  1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 5, ...
  1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 2, 11, 13, 5, ...
- Test cases should be derived so that all of these paths are executed

#### SOFTWARE METRICS

- McCabe's cyclomatic number, introduced in 1976, is, after lines of code, one of the most commonly used metrics in software development.
- The cyclomatic complexity of the program is computed from its control flow graph (CFG) using the formula:

V(G) = Edges - Nodes + 2

or by counting the conditional statements and adding 1

This measure determines the basis set of linearly independent paths and tries to measure the complexity of a program

#### SOFTWARE METRICS



V(G) = Edges - Nodes + 2V(G) = 6 - 6 + 2 = 2

V(G) = conditional statements + 1= 1 + 1 = 2

Two linearly independent paths:

1, 2, 5, 6 1, 2, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6



#### REFERENCES

- K. NAIK AND P. TRIPATHY: "SOFTWARE TESTING AND QUALITY ASSURANCE", WILEY, 2008.
- IAN SOMMERVILLE, SOFTWARE ENGINEERING, 8<sup>TH</sup> EDITION, 2006.
- ADITYA P. MATHUR, "FOUNDATIONS OF SOFTWARE TESTING", PEARSON EDUCATION, 2009.
- D. GALIN, "SOFTWARE QUALITY ASSURANCE: FROM THEORY TO IMPLEMENTATION", PEARSON EDUCATION, 2004
- David Gustafson, "Theory and Problems of Software Engineering", Schaum's Outline Series, McGRAW-HILL, 2002.