Introduction

LALR Parsing Tables

LALR Parsing Tables

- LALR stands for LookAhead LR.
- LALR parsers are often used in practice because LALR parsing tables are smaller than LR(1) parsing tables.
- The number of states in SLR and LALR parsing tables for a grammar G are equal.
- But LALR parsers recognize more grammars than SLR parsers.
- **yacc** creates a LALR parser for the given grammar.
- A state of LALR parser will be again a set of LR(1) items.

Creating LALR Parsing Tables

Canonical LR(1) Parser LALR Parser



shrink # of states

- This shrink process may introduce a reduce/reduce conflict in the resulting LALR parser (so the grammar is NOT LALR)
- But, this shrik process does not produce a shift/reduce conflict.

The Core of A Set of <u>LR(1)</u> Items

- The core of a set of LR(1) items is the set of its first component.
- $S \rightarrow I \bullet = R$ $S \rightarrow L \bullet = R, \$ \rightarrow$ Fx: Core $R \rightarrow L \bullet .$ $R \rightarrow L \bullet$

-

• We will find the states (sets of LR(1) items) in a canonical LR(1) parser with same cores. Then we will merge them as a single state.

$$I_1: L \rightarrow id \bullet , =$$

 $A \text{ new state:} \quad I_{12}: L \rightarrow id \bullet , =$
 $L \rightarrow id \bullet , \$$

 $I_2: L \rightarrow id \bullet$, have same core, merge them

- We will do this for all states of a canonical LR(1) parser to get the states of the LALR parser.
- In fact, the number of the states of the LALR parser for a grammar will be equal to the number of states of the SLR parser for that grammar.

Creation of LALR Parsing Tables

- Create the canonical LR(1) collection of the sets of LR(1) items for the given grammar.
- Find each core; find all sets having that same core; replace those sets having same cores with a single set which is their union.
- $C = \{I_0, ..., I_n\} \Rightarrow C' = \{J_1, ..., J_m\}$ where $m \le n$ Create the parsing tables (action and goto tables) same as the construction of the parsing tables of LR(1) parser.
 - If $J = I_1 \cup ... \cup I_k$ since $I_1, ..., I_k$ have same cores \rightarrow cores of goto(I_1, X),..., goto(I_2, X) must be same. • Note that:
 - So, goto(J,X)=K where K is the union of all sets of items having same cores as goto(I_1,X).
- If no conflict is introduced, the grammar is LALR(1) grammar. (We may only introduce reduce/reduce conflicts; we cannot introduce a shift/reduce conflict)