Course Name: Analysis and Design of Algorithms

Topics to be covered

Dynamic Programming
General Method
Least Common Subsequence

Dynamic Programming

- Dynamic Programming is an algorithm design technique for optimization problems: often minimizing or maximizing.
- Like divide and conquer, DP solves problems by combining solutions to subproblems.
- Unlike divide and conquer, subproblems are not independent.
 - Subproblems may share subsubproblems,
 - However, solution to one subproblem may not affect the solutions to other subproblems of the same problem. (More on this later.)
- DP reduces computation by
 - Solving subproblems in a bottom-up fashion.
 - Storing solution to a subproblem the first time it is solved.
 - Looking up the solution when subproblem is encountered again.
- Key: determine structure of optimal solutions

<u>Comp 122, Spring 2004</u>

Steps in Dynamic Programming

- 1. Characterize structure of an optimal solution.
- 2. Define value of optimal solution recursively.
- 3. Compute optimal solution values either top-down with caching or bottom-up in a table.
- 4. Construct an optimal solution from computed values. We'll study these with the help of examples.

Longest Common Subsequence

• **Problem:** Given 2 sequences, $X = \langle x_1, ..., x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, ..., y_n \rangle$, find a common subsequence whose length is maximum.



Subsequence need not be consecutive, but must be in order.

Comp 122, Spring 2004

Other sequence questions

- *Edit distance:* Given 2 sequences, $X = \langle x_1, ..., x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, ..., y_n \rangle$, what is the minimum number of deletions, insertions, and changes that you must do to change one to another?
- **Protein sequence alignment:** Given a score matrix on amino acid pairs, s(a,b) for $a,b \in \{\Lambda\} \cup A$, and 2 amino acid sequences, $X = \langle x_1,...,x_m \rangle \in A^m$ and $Y = \langle y_1,...,y_n \rangle \in A^n$, find the alignment with lowest score...

More problems

Optimal BST: Given sequence $K = k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n$ of *n* sorted keys, with a search probability p_i for each key k_i , build a binary search tree (BST) with minimum expected search cost.

Matrix chain multiplication: Given a sequence of matrices $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$, with A_i of dimension $m_i \times n_i$, insert parenthesis to minimize the total number of scalar multiplications.

Minimum convex decomposition of a polygon,

Hydrogen placement in protein structures, ...

Naïve Algorithm

- For every subsequence of X, check whether it's a subsequence of Y.
- Time: Θ(*n*2^{*m*}).
 - 2^m subsequences of X to check.
 - Each subsequence takes $\Theta(n)$ time to check: scan Y for first letter, for second, and so on.

Optimal Substructure

Theorem

Let $Z = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of X and Y. 1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} . 2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then either $z_k \neq x_m$ and Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y. 3. or $z_k \neq y_n$ and Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1} .

Notation:

prefix $X_i = \langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle$ is the first *i* letters of *X*.

This says what any longest common subsequence must look like; do you believe it?

Optimal Substructure

Theorem

Let $Z = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of *X* and *Y*. 1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} . 2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then either $z_k \neq x_m$ and *Z* is an LCS of X_{m-1} and *Y*. 3. or $z_k \neq y_n$ and *Z* is an LCS of *X* and Y_{n-1} .

Proof: (case 1: x_m = y_n)
Any sequence Z' that does not end in x_m = y_n can be made longer by adding x_m = y_n to the end. Therefore,
(1) longest common subsequence (LCS) Z must end in x_m = y_n.
(2) Z_{k-1} is a common subsequence of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1}, and
(3) there is no longer CS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1}, or Z would not be an LCS.

Optimal Substructure

Theorem

Let $Z = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of X and Y. 1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} . 2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then either $z_k \neq x_m$ and Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y. 3. or $z_k \neq y_n$ and Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1} .

Proof: (case 2: $x_m \neq y_n$, and $z_k \neq x_m$) Since Z does not end in x_m , (1) Z is a common subsequence of X_{m-1} and Y, and (2) there is no longer CS of X_{m-1} and Y, or Z would not be an LCS.

Recursive Solution

- Define $c[i, j] = \text{length of LCS of } X_i \text{ and } Y_i$.
- We want *c*[*m*,*n*].

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0, \\ c[i-1, j-1]+1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j, \\ \max(c[i-1, j], c[i, j-1]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j. \end{cases}$$

This gives a recursive algorithm and solves the problem. But does it solve it well?

Recursive Solution

if α empty or β empty, () $c[\alpha,\beta] = \{c[prefix\alpha, prefix\beta] + 1\}$ if $end(\alpha) = end(\beta)$, $\max(c[prefix\alpha,\beta],c[\alpha,prefix\beta]) \text{ if } end(\alpha) \neq end(\beta).$

c[springtime, printing]

c[springtim, printing] *c*[springtime, printin]

[springti, printing] [springtim, printin] [springton, printin] [springtime, printi]

[springt, printing] [springti, printin] [springtim, printi] [springtime, print]

Comp 122, Spring 2004

Recursive Solution

 $c[\alpha,\beta] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \text{ emptyor } \beta \text{ empty,} \\ c[\text{prefix}\alpha, \text{prefix}\beta] + 1 & \text{if } \text{end}(\alpha) = \text{end}(\beta), \\ \max(c[\text{prefix}\alpha,\beta],c[\alpha,\text{prefix}\beta]) & \text{if } \text{end}(\alpha) \neq \text{end}(\beta). \end{cases}$

Keep track of c[α,β] in a table of nm entries:
top/down
bottom/up



Computing the length of an LCS

```
<u>1. m \leftarrow length[X]</u>
2. n \leftarrow length[Y]
3. for i ← 1 to m
4. do c[i, 0] ← 0
5. for j \leftarrow 0 to n
6. do c[0, j] ← 0
7. for i \leftarrow 1 to m
8. do for j \leftarrow 1 to n
9.
             do if x_i = y_i
10.
                     then c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i-1, j-1] + 1
                             b[i, j] ← ""
11.
12.
                     else if c[i-1, j] \ge c[i, j-1]
13.
                           then c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i-1, j]
                                  b[i, j] \leftarrow "\uparrow"
14.
15.
                            else c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i, j-1]
                                  b[i, j] \leftarrow ``\leftarrow"
16.
17. return c and b
```

b[i, j] points to table entry whose subproblem we used in solving LCS of X_i and Y_j .

c[*m*,*n*] contains the length of an LCS of *X* and *Y*.

Time: O(mn)

Constructing an LCS

```
PRINT-LCS (b, X, i, j)
   1. if i = 0 or j = 0
   2. then return
   3. if b[i, j] = "
   4.
          then PRINT-LCS(b, X, i-1,
       j–1)
   5.
                print x_i
   6. elseif b[i, j] = "\uparrow"
   7.
                 then PRINT-LCS(b, X,
•Initial Galles PRINT-LCS(6, X, m, n).)
•When b[i, j] = \backslash, we have extended LCS by one character. So
LCS = entries with \land in them.
•Time: O(m+n)
```