

DISCRETE STRUCTURE



NORMAL SUB GROUP, CYCLIC GROUP,INTEGRAL DOMAIN & FIELD

OPICS COVERED

troduction to Normal sub group volic group tegral domain & field

NORMAL SUBGROUPS

Let $\langle H, \bullet \rangle$ be a subgroup of $\langle G, \bullet \rangle$. Then $\langle H, \bullet \rangle$ is a normal subgroup if, for any $a \in G$, the left coset $a \bullet H$ is equal to the right coset $H \bullet a$

•	α	β	γ	δ	3	ζ
α	α	β	γ	δ	3	ζ
β	β	γ	α	3	ζ	δ
γ	γ	α	β	ζ	δ	3
δ	δ	ζ	3	α	γ	β
3	3	δ	ζ	β	α	γ
ζ	ζ	3	δ	γ	β	α

 $\langle H, \bullet \rangle$ is a normal subgroup where $H = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ e.g. $\delta \bullet H = \{\delta \bullet \alpha, \delta \bullet \beta, \delta \bullet \gamma\} = \{\delta, \zeta, \varepsilon\}$ $H \bullet \delta = \{\alpha \bullet \delta, \beta \bullet \delta, \gamma \bullet \delta\} = \{\delta, \varepsilon, \zeta\}$

Theorem: In an Abelian group, every subgroup is a normal subgroup

CYCLIC GROUP

• A group *G* is called cyclic if there exists an element *g* in *G* such that $G = \langle g \rangle = \{ g^n \mid n \text{ is an integer } \}$. Since any group generated by an element in a group is a subgroup of that group, showing that the only subgroup of a group *G* that contains *g* is *G* itself suffices to show that *G* is cyclic.

EXAMPLE OF CYCLIC GROUP

• For example, if G = $\{ g^0, g^1, g^2, g^3, g^4, g^5 \}$ is a group, then $g^6 = g^0$, and G is cyclic. In fact, G is essentially the same as (that is, isomorphic to) the set { 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 } with addition modulo 66. For example, $1 + 2 \equiv 3 \pmod{1}$ 6) corresponds to $g^1 \cdot g^2 = g^3$, and $2 + 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ corresponds to $g^2 \cdot g^5 = g^7 = g^1$, and so on. One can use the isomorphism χ defined by $\chi(g')$ = i

CYCLIC GROUP

- For every positive integer *n* there is exactly one cyclic group (up to isomorphism) whose order is *n*, and there is exactly one infinite cyclic group (the integers under addition). Hence, the cyclic groups are the simplest groups and they are completely classified.
- The name "cyclic" may be misleading: it is possible to generate infinitely many elements and not form any literal cycles; that is, every gⁿ is distinct. (It can be said that it has one infinitely long cycle.) A group generated in this way is called an infinite cyclic group, and is isomorphic to the additive group of integer Z.

CYCLIC GROUP

- Furthermore, the circle group (whose elements are uncountable) is *not* a cyclic group—a cyclic group always has countable elements.
- Since the cyclic groups are abelians, they are often written additively and denoted Z_n. However, this notation can be problematic for number theroitists_The quotient notations Z/nZ, Z/n, and Z/(n) are standard alternatives. We adopt the first of these here to avoid the collision of notation.
- One may write the group multiplicatively, and denote it by C_n , where *n* is the order (which can be ∞). For example, $g^2g^4 = g^1$ in C_5 , whereas 2 + 4 = 1 in **Z**/5**Z**.
- Properties

INTEGRAL DOMAINS AND FIELDS

 $\langle A, \oplus, \bullet \rangle$ is an *integral domain* if it is a commutative ring with unity that also satisfies the following property;

 $\forall x, y \in A \ x \bullet y = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$

 $\langle Z, +, \times \rangle$ is also an integral domain

⟨A, ⊕, •⟩ is a *field* if:
(1) ⟨A, ⊕⟩ is an Abelian group
(2) ⟨A - {0}, •⟩ is an Abelian group
(3) The operation • is distributive over the operation ⊕

Example: The set of real numbers with respect to + and \times is a field.

 $\langle Z, +, \times \rangle$ is not a field. Why?

A FIELD IS AN INTEGRAL DOMAIN Let $\langle A, \oplus, \bullet \rangle$ be a field then certainly $\langle A, \oplus, \bullet \rangle$ is a commutative ring with unity. Hence, it only remains to prove that

 $\forall x, y \in A x \bullet y = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$

Now suppose $x \bullet y = 0$ then if x=0 the above holds. Consider the case then where $x \neq 0$ Since $\langle A - \{0\}, \bullet \rangle$ is an Abelian group then it must contain an inverse to x, x^{-1} , for which the following holds

$$\mathbf{y} = 1 \bullet \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}^{-1} \bullet \mathbf{x}) \bullet \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{-1} \bullet (\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{x}^{-1} \bullet \mathbf{0}$$

Now $a \cdot (0 \oplus 0) = a \cdot 0$ $\Rightarrow a \cdot 0 \oplus a \cdot 0 = a \cdot 0$ (distributivity) $\Rightarrow a \cdot 0 \oplus a \cdot 0 = a \cdot 0 \oplus 0$ (0 is identity) $\Rightarrow a \cdot 0 = 0$ (cancellation laws for \oplus) Therefore y=0 as required

PROPERTIES

Theorem: if $\langle A, \oplus, \bullet \rangle$ is a ring. Then $\forall x \in A \ 0 \bullet x = x \bullet 0 = 0$

Proof: as for previous argument

Let -x denote the inverse of x under \oplus

Theorem: if $\langle A, \oplus, \bullet \rangle$ is a ring then the following hold (i) $(-x) \bullet y = x \bullet (-y) = -(x \bullet y)$ (ii) $(-x) \bullet (-y) = x \bullet y$

Proof: (i)

$$(x \oplus (-x)) \bullet y = 0 \bullet y \text{ (additive inverse)}$$
$$= 0 \text{ (by above theorem)}$$
$$\Rightarrow x \bullet y \oplus (-x) \bullet y = 0 \text{ (distributivity)}$$
$$\Rightarrow (-x) \bullet y = -(x \bullet y) \oplus 0 \text{ (division laws for } \oplus)$$

 $= -(x \bullet y)$ (additive identity)

(ii)
$$(-x) \bullet (-y) = -(x \bullet (-y)) (part(i))$$

= $(-(-(x \bullet y))) (part(i))$
= $x \bullet y$ (double inverse)

for both (i) and (ii) the symmetric cases are proved similarly

Theorem: suppose that elements a,b and c of an integer domain satisfy $a \cdot b = a \cdot c$ and $a \neq 0$ then b=c.

Proof:

a • b ⊕ -(a • c) = a • c ⊕ -(a • c) = 0 (additive inverse) Now - (a • c) = a • (-c) (prev. theorem) ∴ a • (b ⊕ -c) = 0 (distributivity) $\Rightarrow (b ⊕ -c) = 0 \begin{pmatrix} by \ defn. \ of \ integer \ domain \\ since \ a \neq 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\Rightarrow b = 0 ⊕ (-(-c)) (by \ devision \ law \ for ⊕)$ $\Rightarrow b = c (double \ inverse)$

APPLICATION & SCOPE OF RESEARCH

Coding TheoryCryptography