

DISCRETE STRUCTURE

LECTURE-3

Introduction to Equivalence Relations

Introduction

- Certain combinations of relation properties are very useful
 - We won't have a chance to see many applications in this course
- In this set we will study equivalence relations
 - A relation that is reflexive, symmetric and transitive

Outline

- What is an equivalence relation
- Equivalence relation examples
- Related items
 - Equivalence class
 - Partitions

Equivalence relations

- A relation on a set A is called an *equivalence relation* if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive
 - This is definition 1 in the textbook
- Consider relation $R = \{ (a,b) \mid \text{len}(a) = \text{len}(b) \}$
 - Where $\text{len}(a)$ means the length of string a
 - It is reflexive: $\text{len}(a) = \text{len}(a)$
 - It is symmetric: if $\text{len}(a) = \text{len}(b)$, then $\text{len}(b) = \text{len}(a)$
 - It is transitive: if $\text{len}(a) = \text{len}(b)$ and $\text{len}(b) = \text{len}(c)$, then $\text{len}(a) = \text{len}(c)$
 - Thus, R is a equivalence relation

Equivalence relation example

- Consider the relation $R = \{ (a,b) \mid a \equiv b \pmod{m} \}$
 - Remember that this means that $m \mid a-b$
 - Called “congruence modulo m ”
- Is it reflexive: $(a,a) \in R$ means that $m \mid a-a$
 - $a-a = 0$, which is divisible by m
- Is it symmetric: if $(a,b) \in R$ then $(b,a) \in R$
 - (a,b) means that $m \mid a-b$
 - Or that $km = a-b$. Negating that, we get $b-a = -km$
 - Thus, $m \mid b-a$, so $(b,a) \in R$
- Is it transitive: if $(a,b) \in R$ and $(b,c) \in R$ then $(a,c) \in R$
 - (a,b) means that $m \mid a-b$, or that $km = a-b$
 - (b,c) means that $m \mid b-c$, or that $lm = b-c$
 - (a,c) means that $m \mid a-c$, or that $nm = a-c$
 - Adding these two, we get $km+lm = (a-b) + (b-c)$
 - Or $(k+l)m = a-c$
 - Thus, m divides $a-c$, where $n = k+l$
- Thus, congruence modulo m is an equivalence relation

Rosen, section 8.5, question 1

- Which of these relations on $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ are equivalence relations? Determine the properties of an equivalence relation that the others lack
 - $\{(0,0), (1,1), (2,2), (3,3)\}$
 - Has all the properties, thus, is an equivalence relation
 - $\{(0,0), (0,2), (2,0), (2,2), (2,3), (3,2), (3,3)\}$
 - Not reflexive: $(1,1)$ is missing
 - Not transitive: $(0,2)$ and $(2,3)$ are in the relation, but not $(0,3)$
 - $\{(0,0), (1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2), (3,3)\}$
 - Has all the properties, thus, is an equivalence relation
 - $\{(0,0), (1,1), (1,3), (2,2), (2,3), (3,1), (3,2), (3,3)\}$
 - Not transitive: $(1,3)$ and $(3,2)$ are in the relation, but not $(1,2)$
 - $\{(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (1,0), (1,1), (1,2), (2,0), (2,2), (3,3)\}$
 - Not symmetric: $(1,2)$ is present, but not $(2,1)$
 - Not transitive: $(2,0)$ and $(0,1)$ are in the relation, but not $(2,1)$

Rosen, section 8.5, question 5

- Suppose that A is a non-empty set, and f is a function that has A as its domain. Let R be the relation on A consisting of all ordered pairs (x,y) where $f(x) = f(y)$
 - Meaning that x and y are related if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$
- Show that R is an equivalence relation on A
- Reflexivity: $f(x) = f(x)$
 - True, as given the same input, a function always produces the same output
- Symmetry: if $f(x) = f(y)$ then $f(y) = f(x)$
 - True, by the definition of equality
- Transitivity: if $f(x) = f(y)$ and $f(y) = f(z)$ then $f(x) = f(z)$
 - True, by the definition of equality

Rosen, section 8.5, question 8

- Show that the relation R , consisting of all pairs (x,y) where x and y are bit strings of length three or more that agree except perhaps in their first three bits, is an equivalence relation on the set of all bit strings
- Let $f(x)$ = the bit string formed by the last $n-3$ bits of the bit string x (where n is the length of the string)
- Thus, we want to show: let R be the relation on A consisting of all ordered pairs (x,y) where $f(x) = f(y)$
- This has been shown in question 5 on the previous slide

Equivalence classes

- Let R be an equivalence relation on a set A . The set of all elements that are related to an element a of A is called the *equivalence class* of a .
- The equivalence class of a with respect to R is denoted by $[a]_R$
- When only one relation is under consideration, the subscript is often deleted, and $[a]$ is used to denote the equivalence class
- Note that these classes are disjoint!
 - As the equivalence relation is symmetric

More on equivalence classes

- Consider the relation $R = \{(a,b) | a \equiv b \pmod{2}\}$
 - Thus, all the even numbers are related to each other
 - As are the odd numbers
- The even numbers form an equivalence class
 - As do the odd numbers
- The equivalence class for the even numbers is denoted by $[2]$ (or $[4]$, or $[784]$, etc.)
 - $[2] = \{ \dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots \}$
 - 2 is a *representative* of it's equivalence class
- There are only 2 equivalence classes formed by this equivalence relation

More on equivalence classes

- Consider the relation $R = \{(a,b) \mid a=b \text{ or } a=-b\}$
 - Thus, every number is related to additive inverse
- The equivalence class for an integer a :
 - $[7] = \{7, -7\}$
 - $[0] = \{0\}$
 - $[a] = \{a, -a\}$
- There are an infinite number of equivalence classes formed by this equivalence relation

Partitions

- Consider the relation $R = \{ (a,b) \mid a \equiv b \pmod{2} \}$
- This splits the integers into two equivalence classes: even numbers and odd numbers
- Those two sets together form a partition of the integers
- Formally, a partition of a set S is a collection of non-empty disjoint subsets of S whose union is S
- In this example, the partition is $\{ [0], [1] \}$
 - Or $\{ \{ \dots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \dots \}, \{ \dots, -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots \} \}$

Rosen, section 8.5, question 44

- Which are partitions of the set of integers?
 - The set of even integers and the set of odd integers
 - Yes, it's a valid partition
 - The set of positive integers and the set of negative integers
 - No: 0 is in neither set
 - The set of integers divisible by 3, the set of integers leaving a remainder of 1 when divided by 3, and the set of integers leaving a remainder of 2 when divided by 3
 - Yes, it's a valid partition
 - The set of integers less than -100, the set of integers with absolute value not exceeding 100, and the set of integers greater than 100
 - Yes, it's a valid partition
 - The set of integers not divisible by 3, the set of even integers, and the set of integers that leave a remainder of 3 when divided by 6
 - The first two sets are not disjoint (2 is in both), so it's not a valid partition