



# Tautology

# Introduction: PL?

- Propositional Logic (PL) = Propositional Calculus = Sentential Logic
- In Propositional Logic, the objects are called propositions
- **Definition:** A proposition is a statement that is either true or false, but not both
- We usually denote a proposition by a letter:  $p, q, r, s, \dots$

# 1.1 Propositions

- Logic allows consistent mathematical reasoning.
- Many applications in CS: construction and verification computer programs,

**Proposition:** *A statement that is either true (T) or false (F).*

example: Toronto is the capital of Canada in 2003 (F).  
1+1=2 (T).

counter-example: I love this class.

Let “P” be a proposition. Then  $\neg P$  (“NOT P”) is another one stating that:  
It is not the case that “P”.

# Introduction to Tautologies, contradictions

DEF: A compound proposition is called a ***tautology*** if no matter what truth values its atomic propositions have, its own truth value is **T**.

EG:  $p \vee \neg p$  (Law of excluded middle)

The opposite to a tautology, is a compound proposition that's always false – a ***contradiction***.

EG:  $p \wedge \neg p$

On the other hand, a compound proposition whose truth value isn't constant is called a ***contingency***.

EG:  $p \rightarrow \neg p$



# Tautologies and contradictions

The easiest way to see if a compound proposition is a tautology/contradiction is to use a truth table.

$p$	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$
F	T	T
T	F	T

$p$	$\neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
F	T	F
T	F	F

# Tautology example (1.2.8.a)

## Part 1

Demonstrate that

$$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$$

is a tautology in two ways:

1. Using a truth table – show that  $[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$  is always true  $[\neg p$
2. Using a proof (will get to this later).

# Tautology by truth table

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T				
T	F				
F	T				
F	F				

# Tautology by truth table

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	F			
T	F	F			
F	T	T			
F	F	T			

# Tautology by truth table

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T		
T	F	F	T		
F	T	T	T		
F	F	T	F		

# Tautology by truth table

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	F	
T	F	F	T	F	
F	T	T	T	T	
F	F	T	F	F	

# Tautology by truth table

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)$	$[\neg p \wedge (p \vee q)] \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	T

# Application & Scope of research of Tautology

- Circuit-SAT