JOB SEQUENCING WITH DEADLINES

The problem is stated as below.

• There are $n$ jobs to be processed on a machine.
• Each job $i$ has a deadline $d_i \geq 0$ and profit $p_i \geq 0$.
• $P_i$ is earned iff the job is completed by its deadline.
• The job is completed if it is processed on a machine for unit time.
• Only one machine is available for processing jobs.
• Only one job is processed at a time on the machine.
JOB SEQUENCING WITH DEADLINES (Contd..)

- A feasible solution is a subset of jobs $J$ such that each job is completed by its deadline.
- An optimal solution is a feasible solution with maximum profit value.

**Example**: Let $n = 4$, $(p_1,p_2,p_3,p_4) = (100,10,15,27)$, $(d_1,d_2,d_3,d_4) = (2,1,2,1)$
# JOB SEQUENCING WITH DEADLINES (Contd..)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No.</th>
<th>Feasible Solution</th>
<th>Processing Sequence</th>
<th>Profit value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>(1,2)</td>
<td>(2,1)</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>(1,3)</td>
<td>(1,3) or (3,1)</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>(1,4)</td>
<td>(4,1)</td>
<td>127, is the optimal one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>(2,3)</td>
<td>(2,3)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>(3,4)</td>
<td>(4,3)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(viii)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ix)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION

• Consider the jobs in the non-increasing order of profits subject to the constraint that the resulting job sequence $J$ is a feasible solution.

• In the example considered before, the non-increasing profit vector is

$$(100 \ 27 \ 15 \ 10) \quad (2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1)$$

$p_1 \ p_4 \ p_3 \ p_2 \quad d_1 \ d_4 \ d_3 \ d_2$
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

J = {1} is a feasible one
J = {1, 4} is a feasible one with processing sequence (4,1)
J = {1, 3, 4} is not feasible
J = {1, 2, 4} is not feasible
J = {1, 4} is optimal
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

Theorem: Let J be a set of K jobs and
\[ \sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k) \]
be a permutation of jobs in J such that \( d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2} \leq \ldots \leq d_{i_k} \).

- J is a feasible solution iff the jobs in J can be processed in the order \( \sigma \) without violating any deadly.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

Proof:

• By definition of the feasible solution if the jobs in J can be processed in the order without violating any deadline then J is a feasible solution.

• So, we have only to prove that if J is a feasible one, then $\sigma$ represents a possible order in which the jobs may be processed.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• Suppose J is a feasible solution. Then there exists $\sigma^1 = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k)$ such that
  
  $d_{rj} \geq j, \quad 1 \leq j < k$

  i.e. $d_{r1} \geq 1$, $d_{r2} \geq 2$, ..., $d_{rk} \geq k$.

  each job requiring an unit time.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k)$ and $\sigma^1 = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k)$

• Assume $\sigma^1 \neq \sigma$. Then let $a$ be the least index in which $\sigma^1$ and $\sigma$ differ. i.e. $a$ is such that $r_a \neq i_a$.

• Let $r_b = i_a$, so $b > a$ (because for all indices $j$ less than $a$, $r_j = i_j$).

• In $\sigma^1$ interchange $r_a$ and $r_b$. 
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

\[ \sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_a, i_b, i_k) \quad [r_b \text{ occurs before } r_a \text{ in } i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k] \]

\[ \sigma^1 = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_a, r_b, \ldots, r_k) \]

\[ i_1 = r_1, i_2 = r_2, \ldots, i_{a-1} = r_{a-1}, i_a \neq r_b \text{ but } i_a = r_b \]
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• We know \( d_{i_1} \leq d_{i_2} \leq \ldots \leq d_{i_a} \leq d_{i_b} \leq \ldots \leq d_{i_k} \).
• Since \( i_a = r_b \), \( d_{r_b} \leq d_{r_a} \) or \( d_{r_a} \geq d_{r_b} \).
• In the feasible solution \( d_{r_a} \geq a \) \( d_{r_b} \geq b \)
• So if we interchange \( r_a \) and \( r_b \), the resulting permutation \( \sigma^{11} = (s_1, \ldots, s_k) \) represents an order with the least index in which \( \sigma^{11} \) and \( \sigma \) differ is incremented by one.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

- Also the jobs in $\sigma^{11}$ may be processed without violating a deadline.
- Continuing in this way, $\sigma^1$ can be transformed into $\sigma$ without violating any deadline.
- Hence the theorem is proved.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

- **Theorem 2**: The Greedy method obtains an optimal solution to the job sequencing problem.
- Proof: Let \((p_i, d_i)\) \(1 \leq i \leq n\) define any instance of the job sequencing problem.
- Let I be the set of jobs selected by the greedy method.
- Let J be the set of jobs in an optimal solution.
- Let us assume \(I \neq J\).
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• If J C I then J cannot be optimal, because less number of jobs gives less profit which is not true for optimal solution.

• Also, I C J is ruled out by the nature of the Greedy method. (Greedy method selects jobs (i) according to maximum profit order and (ii) All jobs that can be finished before dead line are included).
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• So, there exists jobs a and b such that \(a \in I, a \notin J, b \in J, b \notin I\).

• Let a be a highest profit job such that \(a \in I, a \notin J\).

• It follows from the greedy method that \(p_a \geq p_b\) for all jobs \(b \in J, b \notin I\). (If \(p_b > p_a\) then the Greedy method would consider job b before job a and include it in I).
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• Let $S_i$ and $S_j$ be feasible schedules for job sets I and J respectively.

• Let $i$ be a job such that $i \in I$ and $i \in J$.
  (i.e. $i$ is a job that belongs to the schedules generated by the Greedy method and optimal solution).

• Let $i$ be scheduled from $t$ to $t+1$ in $S_i$ and $t^1$ to $t^1+1$ in $S_j$. 
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

- If $t < t^1$, we may interchange the job scheduled in $[t^1 \ t^1+1]$ in $S_I$ with $i$; if no job is scheduled in $[t^1 \ t^1+1]$ in $S_I$ then $i$ is moved to that interval.
- With this, $i$ will be scheduled at the same time in $S_I$ and $S_J$.
- The resulting schedule is also feasible.
- If $t^1 < t$, then a similar transformation may be made in $S_J$.
- In this way, we can obtain schedules $S_I^{1}$ and $S_J^{1}$ with the property that all the jobs common to $I$ and $J$ are scheduled at the same time.
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• Consider the interval \([T_a, T_a+1]\) in \(S_I^1\) in which the job \(a\) is scheduled.
• Let \(b\) be the job scheduled in \(S_j^1\) in this interval.
• As \(a\) is the highest profit job, \(p_a \geq p_b\).
• Scheduling job \(a\) from \(t_a\) to \(t_a+1\) in \(S_j^1\) and discarding job \(b\) gives us a feasible schedule for job set \(J^1 = J - \{b\} \cup \{a\}\). Clearly \(J^1\) has a profit value no less than that of \(J\) and differs from \(J\) in one less job than does \(J\).
GREEDY ALGORITHM TO OBTAIN AN OPTIMAL SOLUTION (Contd..)

• i.e., $J^1$ and I differ by $m-1$ jobs if $J$ and I differ from $m$ jobs.

• By repeatedly using the transformation, $J$ can be transformed into $I$ with no decrease in profit value.

• Hence I must also be optimal.
Procedure greedy job (D, J, n)
// J is the set of n jobs to be completed//
// by their deadlines //
J ← {1}
for I ← 2 to n do
If all jobs in JU{i} can be completed by their deadlines
then J ← JU{I}
end if
repeat
end greedy-job

J may be represented by one dimensional array J (1: K)
The deadlines are
D (J(1)) ≤ D(J(2)) ≤ .. ≤ D(J(K))

To test if JU {i} is feasible, we insert i into J and verify
D(J®) ≤ r  1 ≤ r ≤ k+1
GREEDY ALGORITHM FOR SEQUENCING UNIT TIME JOBS

Procedure JS(D,J,n,k)
// D(i) ≥ 1, 1 ≤ i ≤ n are the deadlines //
// the jobs are ordered such that //
// p_1 ≥ p_2 ≥ …… ≥ p_n //
// in the optimal solution ,D(J(i) ≥ D(J(i+1)) //
// 1 ≤ i ≤ k //

integer D(0:n), J(0:n), i, k, n, r
D(0) ← J(0) ← 0
// J(0) is a fictious job with D(0) = 0 //
K ← 1; J(1) ← 1 // job one is inserted into J //
for i ← 2 to do // consider jobs in non increasing order of pi //
GREEDY ALGORITHM FOR SEQUENCING UNIT TIME JOBS (Contd..)

// find the position of i and check feasibility of insertion //
  r ← k  // r and k are indices for existing job in J //
// find r such that i can be inserted after r //
while D(J(r)) > D(i) and D(i) ≠ r do
// job r can be processed after i and //
// deadline of job r is not exactly r //
  r ← r-1  // consider whether job r-1 can be processed after i //
repeat
if $D(J(r)) \geq d(i)$ and $D(i) > r$ then

// the new job $i$ can come after existing job $r$;

insert $i$ into $J$ at position $r+1$ //

for $I \leftarrow k$ to $r+1$ by $-1$ do

$J(I+1) \leftarrow J(l)$ // shift jobs($r+1$) to $k$ right by //

//one position //

repeat
GREEDY ALGORITHM FOR SEQUENCING UNIT TIME JOBS (Contd..)

\[ J(r+1) \leftarrow i ; \ k \leftarrow k+1 \]

// i is inserted at position r+1 //
// and total jobs in J are increased by one //
repeat
end JS
COMPLEXITY ANALYSIS OF JS ALGORITHM

• Let \( n \) be the number of jobs and \( s \) be the number of jobs included in the solution.
• The loop between lines 4-15 (the for-loop) is iterated \((n-1)\) times.
• Each iteration takes \( O(k) \) where \( k \) is the number of existing jobs.

\[
\therefore \text{The time needed by the algorithm is } O(sn) \text{ if } s \leq n \text{ so the worst case time is } O(n^2).
\]

If \( d_i = n - i+1 \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \), JS takes \( \theta(n^2) \) time

\( D \) and \( J \) need \( \theta(s) \) amount of space.
A FASTER IMPLEMENTATION OF JS

• SET UNION and FIND algorithms and using a better method to determine the feasibility of a partial solution.

• If J is a feasible subset of jobs, we can determine the processing time for each of the jobs using the following rule.
A FASTER IMPLEMENTATION OF JS (Contd..)

• If job $I$ has not been assigned a processing time, then assign it to slot $[\alpha -1, \alpha]$ where $\alpha$ is the largest integer $r$ such that $1 \leq r \leq d_i$ and the slot $[\alpha -1, \alpha]$ is free.

• This rule delays the processing of jobs $i$ as much as possible, without need to move the existing jobs in order to accommodate the new job.

• If there is no $\alpha$, the new job is not included.
Assignment

• Q.1) Explain job sequencing with deadline. Give one example of it.

• Q.2) Explain faster implementation of JS giving an example.